

DEBATE GRADING RUBRIC

On the right side of the rubric, please write the number representing what you think was the performance level of the debate team in question for each criterion below. Then calculate the average for each team.

**Levels of Performance for AFFIRMATIVE Team**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Grade:</b>
<b>1. Organization &amp; Clarity:</b>  Main arguments and responses are outlined in a clear and orderly way.	Completely clear and orderly presentation	Mostly clear and orderly in all parts	Clear in some parts but not overall	Unclear and disorganized throughout	
<b>2. Use of Argument:</b>  Reasons are given to support the resolution	Very strong and persuasive arguments given throughout	Many good arguments given, with only minor problems	Some decent arguments, but some significant problems	Few or no real arguments given, or all arguments given had significant problems	
<b>3. Use of cross-examination and rebuttal:</b>  Identification of weakness in Negative team's arguments and ability to defend itself against attack.	Excellent cross-exam and defense against Negative team's objections	Good cross-exam and rebuttals, with only minor slip-ups	Decent cross-exam and/or rebuttals, but with some significant problems	Poor cross-exam or rebuttals, failure to point out problems in Negative team's position or failure to defend itself against attack.	
<b>4. Presentation Style:</b>  Tone of voice, clarity of expression, precision of arguments all contribute to keeping audience's attention and persuading them of the team's case.	All style features were used convincingly	Most style features were used convincingly	Few style features were used convincingly	Very few style features were used, none of them convincingly	
					<b>TOTAL SCORE:</b> _____

(Divide by 4)

AVERAGE FOR AFFIRMATIVE TEAM: \_\_\_\_\_

**Levels of Performance for NEGATIVE Team**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Grade:</b>
<b>1. Organization &amp; Clarity:</b>	Completely clear and orderly presentation	Mostly clear and orderly in all parts	Clear in some parts but not overall	Unclear and disorganized throughout	

Main arguments and responses are outlined in a clear and orderly way.					
<b>2. Use of Argument:</b>  Reasons are given against the resolution	Very strong and persuasive arguments given throughout	Many good arguments given, with only minor problems	Some decent arguments, but some significant problems	Few or no real arguments given, or all arguments given had significant problems	
<b>3. Use of cross-examination and rebuttal:</b>  Identification of weakness in Affirmative team's arguments and ability to defend itself against attack.	Excellent cross-exam and defense against Affirmative team's objections	Good cross-exam and rebuttal, with only minor slip-ups	Decent cross-exam and/or rebuttal, but with some significant problems	Poor cross-exam or rebuttal, failure to point out problems in Affirmative team's position or failure to defend itself against attack.	
<b>4. Presentation Style:</b>  Tone of voice, clarity of expression, precision of arguments all contribute to keeping audience's attention and persuading them of the team's case.	All style features were used convincingly	Most style features were used convincingly	Few style features were used convincingly	Very few style features were used, none of them convincingly	
					TOTAL SCORE: <hr/>

(Divide by 4)

AVERAGE FOR NEGATIVE TEAM: \_\_\_\_\_

STUDENT NUMBER (to remain confidential): \_\_\_\_\_